1 2 3	Reuben Yeroushalmi (SBN 193981) Daniel D. Cho (SBN 105409) Ben Yeroushalmi (SBN 232540) YEROUSHALMI & ASSOCIATES 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 610E					
4	Beverly Hills, California 90212 Telephone: 310.623.1926					
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff, Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc.					
6 7						
8						
9	COUNTY OF MARIN					
10	CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC.,	CASE NO. CIV 1205595				
11	in the public interest,	CONSENT JUDGMENT [PROPOSED]				
12	Plaintiff,	Dept: D03				
13	v.	Judge: Hon. Lynn Duryee				
14 15	FGX International Inc., a Rhode Island Corporation; and DOES 1-20;	Complaint filed: December 17, 2012				
16	Defendants.	*				
17						
18	1. INTRODUCTION					
19	1.1 This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff Consumer Advocac					
20	Group, Inc. ("CAG") acting on behalf of itself and in the interest of the public and defendant FGX					
21	International Inc. ("FGX"), with each a referred to as a "Party" and collectively referred to a					
22	"Parties."					
23	1.2 FGX employs ten or more persons, is a person in the course of doing business for					
24	purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety					
25	Code §§ 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65"), and manufactures or causes to be manufactured					
26	distributes, and sells eyewear, including sunglasses and reading glasses.					
27						
11						

CONSENT JUDGMENT [PROPOSED]

1.3 Notices of Violation.

- 1.3.1 On or about October 21, 2011, CAG served FGX and 99 Cents Only Stores and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" (the "October 21, 2011 Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (DEHP) contained in Nosepiece of Magnivision® Folding Reading Glasses With Case & Hook.
- 1.3.2 On or about September 14, 2012, CAG served Levi Strauss & Co., Ross Dress for Less, Inc., Ross Dress for Less, Inc., Ross Dress for Less, Inc., and Ross Stores, Inc. and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" (the "September 14, 2012 Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DEHP contained in Sunglasses, including but not limited to Dockers® Sunglasses S01400LDM224 26311.
- 1.3.3 On or about October 5, 2012, CAG served Riviera Trading, Inc., DAAFU Licensing, Inc. c/o Shelter Entertainment, Ross Dress for Less, Inc., Ross Stores, Inc., Ross Dress for Less and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" (the "October 5, 2012 Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DEHP contained in Sunglasses, including but not limited to Daisey Fuentes Sunglasses, S00662RDF040 28154.
- 1.3.4 On or about November 2, 2012, CAG served CVS Caremark Corporation, Stylemark, LLC, Stylemark, Inc., Revlon, Inc., Revlon Consumer Products Corporation, and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" (the "November 2, 2012 Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to

DEHP contained in Sunglasses, including but not limited to Revlon StyleScience® Sunglasses S00342LWS200 26601.

- 1.3.5 On or about February 8, 2013, CAG served The Jones Group, Inc., Nine West Group, Inc., Ross Stores, Inc., Ross Dress for Less, Inc., Ross Dress for Less, and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" (the "February 8, 2013 Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DEHP contained in Sunglasses, including but not limited to Nine West Brand sunglasses, Metal Aviator, UPC#400079683430.
- 1.3.6 On or about March 25, 2013, CAG served FGX International, Inc., Stylemark, LLC, and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" (the "March 25, 2013 Notice") that provided recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DEHP contained in Eyewear, including but not limited to Dockers® Sunglasses, S014001.DM224 26311 and Daisey Fuentes Sunglasses, S00662RDF040 28154.
- 1.3.7 On or about April 4, 2013, CAG served Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., Capo, Inc., Style Mark, Inc., Motive Eyewear, Inc., and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" (the "April 4, 2013 Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DEHP contained in Sunglasses, including but not limited to Style Science DesignerTM 100% UVA & UVB Protection, STK# S00104SDE999WM.
- 1.3.8 On or about April 17, 2013, CAG served Panama Jack, Inc., Capo, Inc., Style Mark, Inc., Motive Eyewear, Inc., Safeway, Inc., The Vons Companies, Inc., The Vons Companies, Inc., dba Vons, and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" (the "April 17, 2013 Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals

in California of exposures to DEHP contained in Sunglasses, including but not limited to Panama Jack Sunglasses, 100% UVA & UVB Protection, Safeway SBT 730355003455.

1.3.9 On or about May 10, 2013, CAG served Kmart Store 7225, Kmart Corporation, Sears Holding Corporation, Stylemark, Inc., Stylemark, LLC, FGX International Holdings Limited, and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" (the "May 10, 2013 Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DEHP contained in Sunglasses, including but not limited to Dream Out Loud by Selena Gomez Sunglasses, "DEPT:28 CAT:51 SUBCAT: 19 SEAS:1000 KSN:0-04031623-4", barcode#: "8 84409 207785", "G00287LKD999", "S02723LKD040 27510".

1.3.10 No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the October 21, 2011, September 14, 2012, October 5, 2012, November 2, 2012, February 8, 2013, March 25, 2013, April 4, 2013, April 17, 2013, or May 10, 2013 Notices. (All of the notices are collectively referred to herein as the "Covered Notices").

1.4 Complaint.

On December 17, 2012, CAG filed a Complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief in Marin County Superior Court, Case No. CIV1205595. CAG amended the Complaint filing a First Amended Complaint, referred to herein as "Complaint." The Complaint alleges, among other things, that FGX violated Proposition 65 by failing to give clear and reasonable warnings of exposure to DEHP from the products identified in the Covered Notices.

1.5 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over FGX as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the City and County of Marin and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full settlement and resolution of the allegations contained in the Complaint and of all claims which were or could have been raised by any person or entity based in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, on the facts alleged therein or arising

therefrom or related to.

2 3

1.6 No Admission

4 5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

22

21

23 24

25

26

27

28

This Consent Judgment resolves claims that are denied and disputed. The parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a full and final settlement of any and all claims between the parties for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. This Consent Judgment shall not constitute an admission with respect to any material allegation of the Complaint, each and every allegation of which FGX denies, nor may this Consent Judgment or compliance with it be used as evidence of any wrongdoing, misconduct, culpability or liability on the part of FGX.

2. DEFINITIONS

- "Covered Products" means eyewear, including sunglasses and reading glasses and 2.1 cases containing DEHP manufactured, distributed, or sold only by Defendant, FGX International Inc. and its direct and indirect subsidiaries and affiliates.
 - 2.2 "Effective Date" means the date that this Consent Judgment is approved by the Court.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF/REFORMULATION

3.1 As of the Effective Date, FGX will reformulate the Covered Products that it manufactures or has manufactured to contain no more than 1,000 parts per million ("ppm") (or 0.1% by weight) of DEHP.

SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

- Total Payment: Within ten (10) business days of the date that the Court enters the 4.1 Order approving this Consent Judgment, FGX shall mail by certified mail, payments totaling onehundred and twenty-six thousand dollars (\$126,000.00) as follows:
 - 4.1.1 Civil Penalties. FGX shall issue two separate checks for a total amount of twenty-three thousand dollars (\$23,000.00) as penalties pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.12: (a) one check made payable to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA) in the amount of seventeen thousand two-hundred and fifty dollars (\$17,250.00), representing 75% of the total penalty; and (b) one check to

27

28

Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc. in the amount of five thousand seven-hundred and fifty dollars (\$5,750.00), representing 25% of the total penalty.

- 4.1.2 Payment In Lieu of Civil Penalties: FGX shall pay sixteen thousand dollars (\$16,000.00) in lieu of civil penalties to "Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc." CAG will use this payment for investigation of the public's exposure to Proposition 65 listed chemicals through various means, laboratory fees for testing for Proposition 65 listed chemicals, expert fees for evaluating exposures through various mediums, including but not limited to consumer product, occupational, and environmental exposures to Proposition 65 listed chemicals, and the cost of hiring consulting and retained experts who assist with the extensive scientific analysis necessary for those files in litigation, as well as the administrative cost and fees of litigation (excluding attorney's fees) related to such projects in order to reduce the public's exposure to Proposition 65 listed chemicals by notifying those persons and/or entities believed to be responsible for such exposures and attempting to persuade those persons and/or entities to reformulate their products or the source of exposure to completely eliminate or lower the level of Proposition 65 listed chemicals, thereby addressing the same public harm as allegedly in the instant Action. Further, should the court require it, CAG will submit under seal, an accounting of these funds as described above as to how the funds were used. The check shall be made payable to "Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc."
- 4.1.3 Reimbursement of Attorneys' Fees and Costs: FGX shall pay eighty-seven thousand dollars (\$87,000.00) to "Yeroushalmi & Associates" as reimbursement for the investigation fees and costs, testing costs, expert fees, attorney fees, and other litigation costs and expenses for all work performed through the approval of this Consent Judgment.
- 4.1.4 Issuance of 1099 Forms. After each penalty payment, FGX shall issue separate 1099 forms for each payment to (a) Consumer Advocacy Group, whose address and tax identification number shall be provided by CAG on the Execution Date; (b) OEHHA, who shall be identified as "California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment" (EIN: 68-0284486) in the 1099 form, to be delivered directly to OEHHA, P.O. Box 4010,

7

8

9 10

12 13

14

11

15 16

17 18

19

21

22

20

23 24

25

26 27

28

Sacramento, CA 95814; and (c) "Yeroushalmi & Associates," to the address set forth in Section 4.2.

4.2 The payments in paragraphs 4.1.1, 4.1.2, and 4.1.3 above shall be delivered to: Reuben Yeroushalmi, Yeroushalmi & Associates, 9100 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 610E, Beverly Hills, CA 90212.

5. MATTERS COVERED BY THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT

- This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CAG on behalf 5.1 of itself and in the public interest and FGX, of any alleged violation of Proposition 65 that was or could have been asserted by CAG against FGX for failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings of exposure to DEHP for the Covered Products, and fully resolves all claims that have been or could have been asserted in this action up to and including the date of entry of Judgment for failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings for the Covered Products regarding DEHP. CAG, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, hereby discharges FGX and its parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, suppliers, franchisees, licensors, licensees, customers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers and all other upstream and downstream entities in the distribution chain of any of the Covered Products, and the predecessors, successors and assigns of any of them, and all of their respective officers, directors, shareholders, members, managers, employees, agents (collectively, "Released Parties"), from all claims up through the Effective Date for violations of Proposition 65 based on exposure to DEHP in the Covered Products. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to constitute compliance by the Released Parties with Proposition 65 regarding alleged exposures to DEHP from the Covered Products. Nothing in this Section affects CAG's right to commence or prosecute an action under Proposition 65 against any person other than FGX or Released Parties.
- 5.2 CAG on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent

(collectively "Claims"), against the Released Parties arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to DEHP from the Covered Products. In furtherance of the foregoing, as to alleged exposures to DEHP from the Covered Products, CAG on behalf of itself only, hereby waives any and all rights and benefits which it now has, or in the future may have, conferred upon it with respect to Claims arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to DEHP from the Covered Products by virtue of the provisions of section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM, MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

CAG understands and acknowledges that the significance and consequence of this waiver of California Civil Code section 1542 is that even if CAG suffers future damages arising out of or resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, Claims arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to DEHP from the Covered Products, including but not limited to any exposure to, or failure to warn with respect to exposure to DEHP from the Covered Products, CAG will not be able to make any claim for those damages against Released Parties. Furthermore, CAG acknowledges that it intends these consequences for any such Claims arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to DEHP from Covered Products as may exist as of the date of this release but which CAG does not know exist, and which, if known, would materially affect their decision to enter into this Consent Judgment, regardless of whether their lack of knowledge is the result of ignorance, oversight, error, negligence, or any other cause.

ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT

6.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be enforced exclusively by the parties hereto.
The parties may, by noticed motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of California,

City and County of Marin, giving the notice required by law, enforce the terms and conditions contained herein. A Party may enforce any of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment only after that Party first provides 30 days' notice to the Party allegedly failing to comply with the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment and attempts to resolve such Party's failure to comply in an open and good faith manner.

- 6.2 Notice of Violation. Prior to bringing any motion, order to show cause, or other proceeding to enforce Section 3.1 of this Consent Judgment, CAG shall provide a Notice of Violation ("NOV") to FGX. The NOV shall include for each of the Covered Products: the date(s) the alleged violation(s) was observed and the location at which the Covered Products were offered for sale, and shall be accompanied by all test data obtained by CAG regarding the Covered Products, including an identification of the component(s) of the Covered Products that were tested.
 - 6.2.1 Non-Contested NOV. CAG shall take no further action regarding the alleged violation if, within 60 days of receiving such NOV, FGX serves a Notice of Election ("NOE") that meets one of the following conditions:
 - (a) The Covered Products were manufactured by or for FGXbefore the Effective Date, or
 - (b) Since receiving the NOV FGX has taken corrective action by either (i) requesting that its customers in California remove the Covered Products identified in the NOV from sale in California and destroy or return the Covered Products to FGX, or (ii) providing a clear and reasonable warning for the Covered Products identified in the NOV pursuant to 27 Cal. Code Regs. § 25603.
 - 6.2.2 Contested NOV. FGX may serve an NOE informing CAG of its election to contest the NOV within 60 days of receiving the NOV.
 - (a) In its election, FGX may request that the sample(s) of Covered Products tested by CAG be subject to confirmatory testing at an EPA-accredited laboratory.
 - (b) If the confirmatory testing establishes that the Covered Products do not contain DEHP in excess of the level allowed in Section 3.1 CAG shall take no further action

25

26

27

28

regarding the alleged violation. If the testing does not establish compliance with Section 0, FGX may withdraw its NOE to contest the violation and may serve a new NOE pursuant to Section 0.

- (c) If FGX does not withdraw an NOE to contest the NOV, the Parties shall meet and confer for a period of no less than 30 days before CAG may seek an order enforcing the terms of this Consent Judgment.
- 6.3 In any proceeding brought by either Party to enforce this Consent Judgment, the prevailing party shall be entitled to recover its attorney's fees and costs.
- 6.4 Within five (5) days after approval by the Court of the Motion to Approve this Consent Judgment, FGX will provide CAG with a list of all brand names under which the Covered Products are currently being sold.
- 6.5 CAG and its agents and employees will agree to maintain the confidentiality of FGX's list of brand names.
- 6.6 FGX will have no obligation to provide CAG with an updated or modified list of brand names in the future.

7. ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 7.1 CAG shall file a motion seeking approval of this Consent Judgment pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f). Upon entry of the Consent Judgment, CAG and FGX waive their respective rights to a hearing or trial on the allegations of the Complaint.
- 7.2 If this Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, (a) this Consent Judgment and any and all prior agreements between the parties merged herein shall terminate and become null and void, and the actions shall revert to the status that existed prior to the execution date of this Consent Judgment; (b) no term of this Consent Judgment or any draft thereof, or of the negotiation, documentation, or other part or aspect of the Parties' settlement discussions, shall have any effect, nor shall any such matter be admissible in evidence for any purpose in this Action, or in any other proceeding; and (c) the Parties agree to meet and confer to determine whether to modify the terms of the Consent Judgment and to resubmit it for approval.

8.

7

5

12

23

24

25

26

27

28

MODIFICATION OF JUDGMENT

- 8.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only upon written agreement of the parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or upon motion of any party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.
- Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet 8.2 and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

9. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement and enforce the terms of 9.1 this Consent Judgment.

10. SERVICE ON THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

CAG shall serve a copy of this Consent Judgment, signed by both parties, on the California Attorney General. Further, CAG will file the Motion to Approve the Consent Judgment and will provide the Attorney General with at least forty-five (45) days notice of that Motion.

11. ATTORNEY FEES

Except as specifically provided in Section 4 and 6.3, each Party shall bear its own costs and attorney fees in connection with this action.

12. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the 12.1 parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any party hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the parties.

13. GOVERNING LAW

The validity, construction and performance of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California, without reference to any conflicts of law provisions of California law.

- 20 pc

- ___

- 13.2 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or if any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are rendered inapplicable or are no longer required as a result of any such repeal or preemption, or rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally as to the Covered Products, then FGX may provide written notice to CAG of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Covered Products are so affected. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be interpreted to relieve a FGX from any obligation to comply with any pertinent state or federal law or regulation.
- Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code § 1654.

14. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS

14.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.

NOTICES

15.1 Any notices under this Consent Judgment shall be by personal delivery, First Class Mail with proof of delivery, or by overnight courier service.

If to CAG:

Reuben Yeroushalmi, Esq. 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 610E Beverly Hills, CA 90212 (310) 623-1926

1		If to FGX:				
2		FGX International In				
		500 George Washing Smithfield, RI 0291				
3		Attn: General Couns				
4		With a copy to:				
5		Melissa A. Jones, Es	•			
6	6 STOEL RIVES LLP 500 Capitol Mall, Suite 1600					
7		Sacramento, CA 958 Direct: (916) 319-46				
8		Fax: (916) 447-478	l ·			
9		majones@stoel.com				
10	16.	AUTHORITY TO	STIPULATE			
11	16.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by					
12	the party he or she represents to enter into this Consent Judgment and to execute it on behalf of the					
13	party represented and legally to bind that party.					
14	AGRI	EED TO:		AGREED TO:		
15	Date:		, 2013	Date:	, 2013	
16						
17						
18	By:	e concin en in	1001011	Ву:	X INTERNATIONAL INC.	
19		iff, CONSUMER ADV JP, INC.	VOCACY	Defendant, FG	X INTERNATIONAL INC.	
20						
21	IT IS	SO ORDERED.				
22						
23	Date:					
24	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT					
25						
26						
27						
28				13		
			CONSENT	JUDGMENT [PROPOS	ED]	

2 3 4 5	500 Capitol Mall, Suite 1600 Sacramento, CA 95814 Direct: (916) 319-4640				
6	16. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE				
7	16.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by				
8	M.A.				
9	party represented and legally to bind that party.				
10	AGREED TO:				
11	Date: 8-28 2013 Date: 8-30 2013				
12					
13	Sta Vani				
14	By: Michie Saccord By: 40000				
15	Plaintiff, CONSUMER ADVOCACY Defendant, FGX INTERNATIONAL INC. TEFFREY T. GIGUERE, EVP. GC				
16	THE CALCETE STATE				
17	IT IS SO ORDERED.				
18					
19	Date:				
20	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT				
21					
22					
23					
24	•				
25					
26					
27					
28					
	CONSENT JUDGMENT [PROPOSED]				